DoD Automatic Test Systems Strategies and Technologies



JTEG Forum on ATE/ATS

28 October 2014

Service ATS Participants

ATS

- **Bill Ross** (Eagle Systems, NAVAIR and DoD ATS Support)
 - Introduction and Background
- **George Mitchel** (Army, Product Director TMDE)
 - US Army ATS Roadmap
- Chris Giggey (Navy, Dept. PM for ATS)
 - Naval Aviation ATS Roadmap
- Mike Heilman (Marine Corps, ATS Team Lead)
 - Ground Marine Corps ATS Roadmap
- Lt Col Sean Rivera (Air Force, Chief ATS Division)
 - Air Force ATS Roadmap
- Jay Romania (Army, Competency Manager, ATS Division)
 - Dod ATS NxTest IPT Chair Joint Test Technologies
- **Mike Malesich** (Navy, Automatic Test Software Branch Head)
 - DoD ATS Framework IPT Chair ATS Standards

"Automatic Test Systems" Terminology

- <u>ATE</u> or Automatic Test Equipment = Integrated set of test and measurement instruments able to do weapon system test and diagnostics
- <u>OTPS</u> or Operational Test Program Set = A set of hardware to physically interface a group of weapon system Unit(s) Under Test (UUTs) to the ATE and the UUTs test program software
- <u>ATS</u> or Automatic Test System = ATE + its OTPSs



Automatic Test Systems





- Complex electronic test and diagnostics equipment
- Used at all levels of maintenance from factory to field
- Hundreds of different types in DoD inventory and tens of thousands of application test programs in use
- \$51B spent on automatic test systems from 1980 1992

The DoD ATS Problem --- Over 400 different ATE ---



more problems.....



...and there's even more



The Major Issues Facing DoD – Late '90s

- 1. 85-95% common test capability among the different DoD ATE
- 2. Most ATE are or are becoming obsolete
- 3. We pay for similar redesigns multiple times
- 4. We have NO interoperability among our different ATE types
- 5. Our ATS does NOT leverage available valuable diagnostic data
- Combat technologies are being fielded faster than the required support equipment
- 7. Support costs are rising significantly as DoD combat support systems age
- 8. Old technology drives huge logistic footprints (volume)
- 9. Existing ATE does NOT allow for easy and cost effective technology insertion
- 10.Unique labor skills are required to operate, maintain and support each ATE

DoD ATS Executive Directorate (ED)

- OSD established the DoD ATS ED Office to better coordinate ATS across the DoD
 - DoD IG, Congress, and GAO "Tried to Help"
- Goals of the DoD ATS ED:
 - "Reduce the total cost of ownership of DoD ATS"
 - "Provide greater flexibility to the warfighter through Joint Services interoperable ATS"
 - "Reduce logistics footprint"
 - "Improve quality of test"



DoD ATS Executive Directorate



Two Primary Organizational Elements

• DoD ATS Management Board or AMB

ATS

- Senior ATS leader from each Service
- Joint Services Integrated Product Teams or IPTs
 - Service members interested in the IPT topic

DoD ATS Management Board



Joint Services Integrated Product Teams



DoD ATS Executive Directorate



- Originally focused on putting internal Service and cross Services ATS Policies and Processes in place
- Now, more focused on sharing test technologies and leverage ATS investments among the Services

DoD ATS ED General Strategy

- 1. Designate DoD Standard ATS Families
- 2. Define a Technical Open System Framework for ATS designs
- 3. Share test technology development and insertion
- 4. Each Service modernize own Standard ATS Family

DoD ATS Technology Demonstration

Agile Rapid Global Combat Support (ARGCS)

- 2004 2008 OSD Advance Concept Technology Demonstration project
 - Competitively awarded to Northrop Grumman
- Investments by OSD, Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force
 - Funding, technical support and material
 - Information exchange with UK MOD

Share Investment in Next Generation ATS Demonstrations

ARGCS Key Features & Metrics

- ATS <u>interoperability</u> among weapon systems, Services, and other countries
- Scalable to need and performance enhancements
- <u>Smaller footprint</u>, <u>reduced logistics</u> burden
- <u>Better use of weapon system diagnostics data</u> and historical maintenance data
 - ATE Net-Centric functions
- Key technologies demonstrated:
 - Common Tester Interface (CTI)
 - Synthetic Instruments stimulus and measurement
 - ATML net-centric diagnostics functions

Validation of emerging <u>ATS</u> <u>Technical Framework</u> standards

Share Investment in Next Generation ATS Demonstrations

- Services jointly supported the Agile Rapid Global Combat Support (ARGCS) ACTD system-level demonstration
- Successfully demonstrated a number of test technologies:
 - ARGCS Architecture
 - ATML Standards
 - ATML (first implementation)
 - Common Tester Interface
 - Net-Centric Diagnostics
 - Synthetic Instrumentation (SI)
 - SI Component Interface Standards
 - LXI standards
 - Multiple Run-time Environments
 - Commercial Instrument Maturity
 - Bus Test Emulation Instrument
 - High Density Digital Instrument
 - High Density Analog Instrument
 - Advanced Power Supplies



Share Investment in Next Generation ATS Demonstrations

- Services jointly supported the Agile Rapid Global Combat Support (ARGCS) ACTD system-level demonstration
- Successfully demonstrated a number of test technologies:
 - ARGCS Architecture
 - ATML Standards
 - ATML (first implementation)
 - Common Tester Interface
 - Net-Centric Diagnostics
 - Synthetic Instrumentation (SI)
 - SI Component Interface Standards
 - LXI standards
 - Multiple Run-time Environments
 - Commercial Instrument Maturity
 - Bus Test Emulation Instrument
 - High Density Digital Instrument
 - High Density Analog Instrument
 - Advanced Power Supplies



These technologies are being incorporated into the current generation of DoD ATS Families