

# The Intermittent Testing Void

Ken Anderson Universal Synaptics 801.731.8508 www.USynaptics.com @USynaptics

© Copyright 1995 – 2015, Universal Synaptics Corporation. All Rights Reserved

## No Fault Found:

- ARINC 672 Guidelines for The Reduction of No Fault Found (NFF)
  - Emphasis on increased technician training
  - Improved data collections systems and analysis
  - Nothing included in the 672 Guidelines to address technology / diagnostics gaps
  - NFF costs a typical US commercial carrier approximately \$250k per year per aircraft
  - NFF cost the Department of Defense (DoD) between \$2B \$10B annually
- Universal Synaptics supports ARINC 672 Guidelines
  - Over 20 years experience in NFF remediation and solutions
  - Focus on improved diagnostics capability to address intermittent faults in Line Replaceable Units (LRUs) and EWIS which has been conclusively linked as a significant contributor to NFF
  - Research and practical application has demonstrated significant reductions in NFF when all three are applied to the NFF problem

### Increased Training, Improved Data and Advanced Diagnostics

## The Problem:

- Aircraft electronic LRUs test "No Fault Found" (NFF) approximately 50% of the time
  - Box malfunctions intermittently during flight, but tests good during subsequent ground testing
  - Intermittent activity also categorized as RTOK, CND, NTF or even "gremlins"
  - Intermittent discontinuity is a growing problem in electronic systems
- Intermittent faults are mechanical in nature
  - Failures are in wiring, solder joints, wire wraps, connectors, via's etc.
  - Modern components are more reliable and capable intermittent discontinuity a major concern and cost driver

### No Fault Found costs the DoD between \$2 and \$10 Billion annually

## Conventional Approach:

- Functional ATE and Continuity testers cannot detect and isolate intermittent faults that cause NFF
  - Tests only one function at a time
  - Tests only one circuit at a time, even when connected to multiple circuits
  - Digital averaging, scanning and sampling masks / misses the intermittent faults – a testing "blind spot" / "testing void" exists
  - LRUs are not tested in an operational environment where the failures occur
  - Only designed to find functional failures, failed components and "hard" failures (opens circuits / short circuits)
  - The nodal architecture of LRUs prohibits multi-plexing
  - Intermittent faults that cause NFF do not follow specific failure patterns

### **Conventional Approach = Conventional Results**

## MX and Supply Impact:

- Tools provided to maintainers are not sufficient:
  - Just because a UUT passes BIT or ATE tests multiple times in a row, does NOT mean there isn't a failure
  - BIT / ATE testing does not check all circuits simultaneously or functional paths in an LRU or connection paths to SRUs
  - ATE does not test in an operationally relevant environment
- Flightline "Blacklisting" of LRUs makes an expensive supply problem worse
  - Creates availability issues
  - Masks the real problem (undetected intermittence)
  - Recirculates "bad actors" to other military units and commercial carriers, thus perpetuating the problem

### An Innovative Solution is Needed to Solve This Problem

## **Operational Impact:**

- High MICAP rates
  - Missions canceled / postponed
  - Readiness is negatively impacted
- High NFF / RTOK / CND rates
  - Wasted I / O / D-level maintenance resources and supply man-hours
  - Wasted time on supply documentation, transportation and troubleshooting
- Supply chain becomes more expensive and less responsive
  - Each LRU sent to the depot for a non-fix, unnecessarily wastes Combat and Support Commands millions of dollars each year!
  - High availability (even a 100% production fill rate) does not equal high reliability or weapon system readiness

### The DoD MX Enterprise is large, global, complex and costly. Change is required to reduce NFF & improve operational availability

## Intermittent Faults:

• Three Stages to an intermittent fault:



## **Intermittent Fault Detection Science**

## **Conventional ATE**

- Measures only one circuit at a time, even when connected to multiple circuits
- Filters and averages out intermittent events that cause NFF



**IFDIS** 

No missed defects!

 Hardware Neural-Network monitors ALL circuits, simultaneously and continuously with high sensitivity

## Conventional Tester Probability of Detecting a Random Intermittent Event



.03% detection probability

## IFDIS & Voyager Probability of Detecting a Random Intermittent Event



99.99% detection probability

## Intermittent Faults, Physical Effects:

- Cracked solder joint
- Broken wire
- Loose crimp connection
- Loose or corroded wire wrap
- Corroded connector contact
- Sprung connector receptacle
- Deteriorated wire insulation
- Hairline crack in printed circuit trace
- Unsoldered connection









### **Physical Manifestations, Not Electronic Component Failures**

## Identifying IFDIS Test Candidates



**Collect Maintenance and Performance Data** 

## Universal Synaptics NFF Solutions:

- Ncompass-Voyager<sup>™</sup> and the Intermittent Fault Detection & Isolation System<sup>™</sup> (IFDIS<sup>™</sup>)
- Advanced all lines all the time circuit monitoring
- Proven technology that reduces NFF and improves system availability while reducing cost
- TRL 9 solutions





"If we're going to keep the advantage that we've historically had then we need to keep up [technologically]."

- Secretary of Defense Ash Carter

# **Ogden Air Logistics Complex**



## USAF Intermittence Testing

Mr. Les Stone Director 523<sup>rd</sup> EMXS

JTEG Intermittence Forum 2015



# **Air Force Problem**



OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX

Conventional testers were unable to detect the problem in F-16 Modular Low Power Radio Frequency (MLPRF) LRUs 51% of the time

## Discovered chassis intermittent circuits in 1999

- Using a microscope, found ribbon cable had cracked solder joints
- MLPRF SRUs had 90% NFF rate
- Initiated massive ribbon cable re-soldering program
- No depot tester could detect intermittent circuits







OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX

- Discovered IFDIS capability in 2006
- Stood up two systems in 2009 through SBIR Phase III vehicle
  - One in F-16 MLPRF repair shop
  - One in "Bad Actor" laboratory
- IFDIS Tested over 400 MLPRFs
- Over 28 times (\$62M) return on investment in less than three years



## **MLPRF** Chassis



#### OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX



# MLPRF Ribbon Cable & Wiring



#### OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX





# **MLPRF With ITA Installed**



#### OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX





# **MLPRF** Results



OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX

- Intermittent faults detected and isolated in over 60% of the units IFDIS tested (over 400 MLPRFs)
- Increased Mean Operating Hours Between Depot Repair from 290 to 926 hours (Tripled)
- Near the top of the MICAP list for over a decade, now not even on the MICAP list
- Troubleshooting time reduced by over 50%





OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX

IFDIS testing F-16 Radar Antenna, CADC and C-17 Power Supply

Stood up 8000+ channel system in Jan 2015

- Capable of testing F-16 Programmable Signal Processor (PSP) – Unreliable & costly to sustain
- Plan to expand IFDIS testing to many LRUs
- Will be capable of testing virtually any LRU in the USAF inventory, as well as Joint Service LRUs



# **8000 channel IFDIS**



#### OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX





## **F-16 PSP**



#### OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX





# **Air Force Summary**



OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX

- IFDIS was great investment amazing ROI
- Solving our intermittent / NFF circuit problem
- Reliability improvement greater than expected
- Reducing Air Force maintenance costs
- Expanding to other LRUs as rapidly as possible
- Currently have large enough IFDIS to test any LRU in the USAF inventory, as well as Joint Service LRUs



## **Questions?**



#### OGDEN AIR LOGISTICS COMPLEX

