2015 DoD Maintenance Innovation Challenge Supporting America's Advanced Technology Advanced Detection of Electronic

The Detection and Prevention of Counterfeit/Defective Electronic Integrated Circuits using the NOKOMIS ADEC Sensor System

Bryan J. Neva, Sr., BSEE, MBA Avionics Engineer NAVAIR FRCSW NAS North Island, CA



NOKOMUS

FRCSW No. 16-0010. DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited DoDI 5230.24

Fleet Readiness Center Southwest









- Aviation Maintenance Repair Overhaul (MRO) facility
- F/A-18, E-2, C-2, AV-8, H-53, H-60 etc.
- Over 4000 civilian/military personnel
- In-Service Support Center (ISSC) provides Research, Engineering & Logistical support for the Navy and Marine Corps







Advanced Aircraft Technologies IPT

- AAT Integrated Product Team (IPT) comprised of Subject Matter Experts to bring innovation to FRCSW
- Leverages FRCSW <u>Navy Federal Lab Designation</u> with Office of Research and Technology Applications (ORTA) for <u>Cooperative Research</u> and Development Agreements (CRADA)
- Avionics & Radar: currently exploring technologies to prevent Counterfeit/ Defective ICs at FRCSW IAW DoD Policy
 - DoD Instruction 4140.67



Counterfeit IC Background

- Most ICs Manufactured Overseas
- e-waste is "recycled"
- DoD is Relatively Small Consumer of ICs
 - Does not have "trusted" manufacturers
 - Can't regulate industry
- Big risk that ICs acquired will not meet specifications for performance and reliability
- <u>Counterfeit ICs cost DoD</u>
 <u>\$100s Millions per year</u>



Example Device: Motorola MCU Part #: MC6809CP

Counterfeit Issues at FRCSW



- Obsolescence DMSMS Issues
 - Increases Risk of Counterfeit/ Defective ICs for aging equipment
- Defects Not Discovered Until Soldered into PCB
 - Requires Costly Rework
 - Estimated \$30,000 per incident
 - Estimated 228 incidents per year
 - Estimated cost \$6.84 Million per year!
- Old ICs sold to Navy as new only work for a short time
 - Causes Early Life Failure (ELF) of WRAs
- Increased Lifecycle Costs in Tight DoD Budget







Current FRCSW Counterfeit Detection Methods



- 1) Pedigree Inspection (MilSpec)
 - Primary method used at FRCSW/Military Supply System
 - PROS: Qualified Product, Manufacturer, Suppler, Distributor
 - CONS: Pedigree does not guarantee authenticity; Open market purchases bypasses this method; DoD cannot regulate IC manufacturing industry
- 2) Visual External Inspection
 - Secondary method used at FRCSW and DoD
 - PROS: Cheap, easy, widely used
 - CONS: Only as good as the person inspecting; Does not catch substandard/defective ICs; Mostly shown to be ineffective
- 3) Electrical Testing
 - FRCSW Tests PCBs/WRAs after Repairs Completed
 - PROS: Widely Used; Relatively Effective
 - CONS: Costly, Time Consuming; Not Easy; Can't detect substandard/defective ICs or Old chips sold as New

Other Legacy Counterfeit Detection Methods

- 4) Microscopic Inspection
- 5) X-Ray Inspection
- 6) X-RF Inspection
- 7) De-capsulation Inspection
- 8) SAM Inspection

- 9) DNA Markings (not available for obsolete ICs)
- Pros: Aggregate effectiveness only 86%
- Cons: Require huge investment; Expensive; Time Consuming; Require Advanced Training and Personnel; Cost/Benefit Ratio too Large; Impractical to use at FRCSW

FRCSW Technology Gap



- Electrical testing works but increases repair costs
- Legacy Counterfeit Detection Methods impractical at FRCSW
- FRCSW needs is a fast, affordable, automated, practical, userfriendly, fool-proof technology to identify Counterfeit and Defective ICs
- AAT IPT learned of a new Counterfeit & Defective IC Detection technology developed from over \$16 M in DoD SBIR funding: NOKOMIS ADEC System. It is 99% Effective!
- AAT undertook initial pilot in FY2014 with NAVSEA and Nokomis related to counterfeits for parts used at FRCSW (Presented at DMSMS 2014)



Costs, Benefits, ROI

- LTG Patrick O'Reilly, Former Director of MDA, estimated the cost per counterfeit incident for the THAAD missile system at \$2.78 Million (Testimony to Senate Armed Services Committee, November 2011)
- Bruce Mahone, Director of SAE, estimated the cost per counterfeit incident at \$2.8 Million (SAE G-19A meeting, December 2013)
 - Note: The following estimates are based on Bruce Mahone's estimates.
- FRCSW AAT Estimated Costs/Savings/ROI:
 - Cost of NOKOMIS ADEC System ~ \$3 Million
 - Cost per unscheduled maintenance repair incident ~ \$30,000
 - Number unscheduled maintenance repair incidents per year ~ 228
 - Savings per year ~ \$6.84 Million
 - ROI over 10 years ~ 2000%

The Path Forward...

- Capitalize on over \$16 Million in DoD SBIR Investment
- Consider LP-CRADA for further system evaluation
 - AAT is a Federal Lab
- Consider SBIR Phase II.5 project for additional R&D as determined after evaluation
- Eventually introduce this technology into all DoD Depot Repair Facilities as the standard Counterfeit IC Detection technology



Play Video Here (length 1:50)

